IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA ROCK HILL DIVISION		
James Walter Sprouse, Jr, #120093,) C.A. No.: 0:04-22477-RBH	
Plaintiff,)	
VS.) ORDER	
Marshall C. Sanford, Governor of South Carolina; John Ozmint, Director of South Carolina Department of Corrections; and Robert E. Ward, Acting Director of Operations,))))	
Defendants.)))	
Donnie McClure, #98948,) C.A. No.: 0:04-22479-RBH	
Plaintiff,)	
VS.	ORDER	
Marshall C. Sanford, Governor of South Carolina; John Ozmint, Director of South Carolina Department of Corrections; and Robert E. Ward, Acting Director of Operations, Defendants.))))))))))))	
Lamandsda McClure, #291941,) C.A. No.: 0:04-22480-RBH	
Plaintiff,)	
VS.) O R D E R	
Marshall C. Sanford, Governor of South)	

Carolina; John Ozmint, Director of South)
Carolina Department of Corrections; and)
Robert E. Ward, Acting Director of)
Operations,)
- F)
Defendants.)
Defendants.)
)
_	_)
Terry L. Coleman, #271048,	,
Terry L. Coleman, #2/1046,)
D1 : .:00) C.A. No.: 0:04-22481-RBH
Plaintiff,)
)
VS.	ORDER
)
Marshall C. Sanford, Governor of South)
Carolina; John Ozmint, Director of South)
Carolina Department of Corrections; and)
Robert E. Ward, Acting Director of)
)
Operations,)
5 0 1)
Defendants.)
)
	_)
Richard Sims, #273381,)
) C.A. No.: 0:04-22482-RBH
Plaintiff,)
,)
VS.	ORDER
, ,)
Marchall C. Sanford, Gavernor of South)
Marshall C. Sanford, Governor of South)
Carolina; John Ozmint, Director of South)
Carolina Department of Corrections; and)
Robert E. Ward, Acting Director of)
Operations,)
)
Defendants.)
)
)

)
) C.A. No.: 0:04-22483-RBH
ORDER
))))
)))
) C.A. No.: 0:04-22484-RBH
)) ORDER
)))))
) _)
) C.A. No.: 0:04-22485-RBH
)
) ORDER
)))))

Defendants.)) _)	
Troy Mobley, #249231,)	
Plaintiff,)	C.A. No.: 0:04-22486-RBH
vs.)	ORDER
Marshall C. Sanford, Governor of South Carolina; John Ozmint, Director of South Carolina Department of Corrections; and Robert E. Ward, Acting Director of)))	
Operations, Defendants.)))	

This matter is before the court for review of the Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge Bristow Marchant, made in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 73.02 for the District of South Carolina.

The Magistrate Judge makes only a recommendation to this court. The recommendation has no presumptive weight. The responsibility to make a final determination remains with this court. See Mathews v. Weber, 423 U.S. 261, 270-71 (1976). The court is charged with making a de novo determination of those portions of the Report and Recommendation to which specific objection is made, and the court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendation of the Magistrate Judge or recommit the matter with instructions. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

The Plaintiff filed no objections to the Report and Recommendation. In the absence of objections to the Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge, this court is not required

0:04-cv-22484-RBH Date Filed 01/22/08 Entry Number 23 Page 5 of 5

to give any explanation for adopting the recommendation. See Camby v. Davis, 718 F.2d 198, 199

(4th Cir. 1983).

After a thorough review of the Report and Recommendation and the record in this case, the court adopts Magistrate Judge Marchant's Report and Recommendation and incorporates it herein.

It is therefore

ORDERED that the plaintiff's motions for summary judgment are hereby **DENIED**, and defendants' motions for summary judgment are hereby **GRANTED**, and these cases are hereby **DISMISSED**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

S/ R. Bryan Harwell

R. Bryan Harwell United States District Judge

Florence, South Carolina January 22, 2008